

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,928	10/29/2001	David Y. Schlossman	EWG-050-3C	1687	
23396	7590 08/27/2002				
ELMER GALBI			EXAMINER		
13314 VERMEER DRIVE LAKE OSWEGO, OR 97035			NGUYEN, D	N, DUC MINH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		
DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/039,928		SCHLOSSMAN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Duc Nguyen		2643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress		
THE I - Externance - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howe by within the statutory min will apply and will expire to a, cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com	nmunication,		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-7$ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirer	ment.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	ipplication).		
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment	c(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of F	Paper No. 4		

Application/Control Number: 10/039,928 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-3, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dolin et al (5,420,572).

Consider claims 1-3, 6-7. Dolin teaches a method and system of configurating a communication system comprising recording details of the operation of the communication system (node type data can include information about the nodes operation and even configuration information; col. 11, ln. 26-41); electronically communicating the details of operating to a configuration server (hand held controller 310; col. 11, ln. 54-64); examining the details of operation and generating id data identifying the communication system (col. 11, ln. 26-41, ln. 54 to col. 12, ln. 15, ln. 24-43); and transmitting the id data to the communication system to facilitate configuration of the communication system (abstract; col. 5, ln. 55 to col. 6, ln. 4; col. 12, ln. 30-40).

Application/Control Number: 10/039,928

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dolin et al (5,420,572) in view of Lucas et al (5,703,938).

Consider claim 4. Dolin does not clearly teach the limitations of this claim.

(Lucas, col. 11, ln. 28 to col. 12, ln. 44) reads on the limitations of claim 4.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Lucas into the teachings of Dolin in order to optimize network nodes, end offices or trunks configurations.

Consider claim 5. (Lucas, col. 5, ln. 45-55) reads on the limitations of claim 5.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7527.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Ĵ

Art Unit: 2643

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296 (Group's Fax numbers) (703) 746-7251 (Examiner's Fax number, only for proposed amendment)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

August 16, 2002

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER